

## **Annex I. Guide for MARTEC Evaluation**

This form is to be filled only by the evaluator assigned for MARTEC ERA-NET. The MARTEC proposals should be judged in base of the criteria established for the call.

First some questions are introduced you might like to ask yourself about the proposal are given in each criterion/subcriterion box. The score that you give to each of the criterion/subcriterion will weight the given the percentage in the brackets. The final evaluation given, once the weights are applied, will be used as a base for the MARTEC ranking of the proposals.

### **Scoring**

For each criterion/subcriterion under examination, score values indicate the following assessments:

*0 - The proposal fails to address the criterion under examination or cannot be judged due to missing or incomplete information*

*1 - Very poor. The criterion is addressed in a cursory and unsatisfactory manner.*

*2 - Poor. There are serious inherent weaknesses in relation to the criterion in question.*

*3 - Fair. While the proposal broadly addresses the criterion, there are significant weaknesses that would need correcting.*

*4 - Good. The proposal addresses the criterion well, although certain improvements are possible.*

*5 - Excellent. The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion in question. Any shortcomings are minor and weighting*

The following table shows how the final evaluation is got:

CRITERIA	SUBCRITERIA	Partial evaluation	CRITERIA EVALUATION	WEIGHTING	Final evaluation (FE)
<b>A</b> Scientific and Technological excellence	<b>A1.</b> Innovation level (progress beyond the state of the art) <b>A2.</b> Quality of the approach, work plan and methodology <b>A3.</b> The extent to which the proposed S&T approach is likely to enable the project to achieve its objectives in research and innovation	A1 A2 A3	$CEA = (A1 + A2 + A3) / 3$	35%	$FE = (CEA * 0.35 + CEB * 0.35 + CEC * 0.3)$
<b>B</b> Potential impact	<b>B1.</b> The extent to which the proposed project is suitably ambitious in terms of its strategic impact on reinforcing competitiveness or on solving societal or environmental problems at a European level. <b>B2.</b> The extent to which the innovation-related activities and exploitation and/or dissemination plans are adequate to ensure optimal use of the project results. <b>B3.</b> Is the proposal compatible with norms, standards and regulations?	B1 B2 B3	$CEB = (B1 + B2 + B3) / 3$	35%	
<b>C</b> Consortium	NONE	C	$CEC = C$	30%	

<b>CRITERIA:</b> <b>A. Scientific and Technological excellence (35%)</b>	<b>Average of the scores given to each point</b>
<p><b>1. Innovation level (progress beyond the state of the art)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Is the proposal contributing and or increasing the advance of the S&amp;T knowledge?</li> <li>▪ Does the proposal take scientific and technological risk? Is the proposal possibly reaching transcendent research advances in knowledge, in case of investigations with a risky character?</li> <li>▪ Is the proposal contributing to advances in knowledge and innovation of the research group, the scientific community and economical and societal agents?</li> </ul>	<p>(PLEASE INCLUDE COMMENTS HERE)</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 20px; margin-left: auto; margin-right: 0;"></div> <p><b>Score: 5 = High, 0 = Low</b></p>
<p><b>2. Quality of the approach, work plan and methodology</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Are the proposal objectives clear, feasible and focused?</li> <li>▪ Is the methodology, research design and work plan adequate to the proposed objectives?</li> </ul>	<p><b>Score: 5 = High, 0 = Low</b></p>
<p><b>3. The extent to which the proposed S&amp;T approach is likely to enable the project to achieve its objectives in research and innovation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Do the objectives of the proposal have a scientific relevance based on the theoretical knowledge and empirical background?</li> </ul>	<p><b>Score: 5 = High, 0 = Low</b></p>

<b>CRITERIA:</b> <b>B. Potential impact (35%)</b>	<b>Average of the scores given to each point</b>
<p><b>1. The extent to which the proposed project is suitably ambitious in terms of its strategic impact on reinforcing competitiveness or on solving societal or environmental problems at a European level.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Does the proposal have a trans-national added value?</li> <li>▪ Does the proposal have previewed a short and mid term effect of the support on the R&amp;D&amp;I of the research group (i.e. creation, consolidation, expansion or integration of the equipments or R&amp;D&amp;I lines)?</li> <li>▪ Does the project have the capacity to form high level researchers and technologists?</li> <li>▪ Is the proposed project likely to have an impact on reinforcing competitiveness or on solving societal or environmental problems?</li> <li>▪ Does the proposed project demonstrate clear added value in carrying out the work at trans national level and takes account of research activities at national level and under European initiatives (e.g. Eureka); ?</li> <li>▪ Does the proposal have the possibility to transfer the results in the short and mid term?</li> </ul>	<p><i>(PLEASE INCLUDE COMMENTS HERE)</i></p> <p><b>Score: 5 = High, 0 = Low</b> <input type="text"/></p>
<p><b>2. The extent to which the innovation-related activities and exploitation and/or dissemination plans are adequate to ensure optimal use of the project results.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Does the proposal have a feasible exploitation plan and diffusion of the scientific project results?</li> <li>▪ Are the expected results or the knowledge acquired of importance for the economical sectors and the economical development?</li> </ul>	<p><b>Score: 5 = High, 0 = Low</b> <input type="text"/></p>
<p><b>3. Is the proposal compatible with norms, standards and regulations?</b></p>	<p><b>Score: 5 = High, 0 = Low</b> <input type="text"/></p>

<b>CRITERIA</b>	<b>Average of the scores given to each point</b>
<b>C. Consortium (30%)</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Do the participants in the proposal constitute a consortium of high quality?</li> <li>▪ Are the participants in the proposal well-suited and committed to the tasks assigned to them?</li> <li>▪ Do the participants compliment correctly between each other?</li> <li>▪ Is the management of the consortium of enough quality?</li> <li>▪ Is there a satisfactory plan for the management of knowledge, of intellectual property and of other innovation related activities?</li> <li>▪ Financial capacity of the participants. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Do the project foresee the necessary resources for success (personnel, equipment, financial...)?</li> <li>- Are the resources convincingly integrated to form a coherent project?</li> <li>- Is the overall financial plan of the project adequate?</li> <li>- Is there a necessity or an adequacy of human or technical resources in relation to the work plan?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p><i>(PLEASE INCLUDE COMMENTS HERE)</i></p> <p><b>Score: 5 = High, 0 = Low</b></p> <div style="text-align: right;"><input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/></div>
<b>TOTAL SCORE*</b>	<input style="width: 80px; height: 25px;" type="text"/>

\* Total Score: Each average result form the three criteria will be weighted by their percentage,

$$\text{Total Score} = \text{Scientific and Technological excellence} * 0,35 + \text{Potential impact} * 0,35 + \text{Consortium} * 0,3$$

Total Sore (Please, indicate decimals):

Name:

Signature: